WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEE LANSINGLANDFILL

Date:_	Inspector	and U	Den			
Time:_	9 00 Weather Conditions:) ind	1 4·	Sary		
	·	Yes	No	1	Notes	
CCRI	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.	.8 <u>4</u>)			110000	
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	- [
	localized settlement observed on the	ľ				
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?			+		
- 2_	Were conditions observed within the cells	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	containing CCR or within the general landfill					
	operations that represent a potential disruption	,				
	to ongoing CCR management operations?	^				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or			-		
	within the general landfill operations that	ļ				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	F	1 .			
	the CCR management operations.					
CCR Fr	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))	<u> </u>			
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting	1		T		
	period? If answer is no, no additional			1		
	information required					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	 	 			
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	-	-			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR					
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to					
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on	ļ				<u> </u>
	landfill access roads?				-	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the					
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			•		
	corrective action measures below.				•	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,					
	describe recommended changes below.					
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	- 1				
	complaints received during the reporting					
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question					•
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	•				
ditional	Notes:					
						
	-					

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEE LANSING LANDFILL

11me:_	7.30 Weather Conditions: 5	<u>~ ~~</u>			<u> </u>		-	
		. Yes		No		Λ	otes	·
	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	E)						
-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	-			1	·		
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells' containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?							
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.			ı.				
CR F	agitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4				·			
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		Managara and American State of the State of	<i>i</i>				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-					
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?							
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?						•	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.				•	-		
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.							
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-	Constitution of the Consti					
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?							

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015.xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEB LANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	11-3-2020 Inspector 12	JWA.	ν			
Time:_	8:00 Weather Conditions: S	~ ~	V .			
	1					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. Yes	No		Notes	
	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	34)				
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	-			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	localized settlement observed on the	Ī				
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	are the second s		7'		
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells					
1	containing CCR or within the general landfill					
	operations that represent a potential disruption					
	to ongoing CCR management operations?					
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or				······································	
	within the general landfill operations that	F				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of					
	the CCR management operations.			,		
CCR Ft	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)((4))				-
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting					
	period? If answer is no, no additional]		-		
	information required.					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust					
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		-			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR					
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to					
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					•
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on					· -
	landfill access roads?				-	
8-	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the					
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe					
	corrective action measures below.				-	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,		1			
	describe recommended changes below.					
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-				
	complaints received during the reporting					
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question					
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?					
						
iditional	Notes:					
 -	:					
						_

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015.xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKE LANSING LANDFILL

		Yes	77-			
יון ארטי	andfill Interview Immedian (c 40 CORD 2007 0		No		Notes	
1.	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8 Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	4)				
	localized settlement observed on the	ŀ			•	
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		1 , /	+		
•	CCR?			1		
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells			 		
	containing CCR or within the general landfill					
	operations that represent a potential disruption		1 1	1		
	to ongoing CCR management operations?					
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or			 -		
	within the general landfill operations that	<u> </u>				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		1 /			
	the CCR management operations.					
CR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(41)	!	L	-	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting	-))	<u> </u>			
	period? If answer is no, no additional					
	information required					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust					
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	ĺ	-			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR					
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to					
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on					·
	landfill access roads?				-	
8-	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the					
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		1	•		
	corrective action measures below.				•	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					
	measures effective? If the answer is no,					
	describe recommended changes below.					
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen					
	complaints received during the reporting		1			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question					•
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			· · · · · · · · ·		

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx